

LONG TERM BEHAVIOUR FOR

SOLUTIONS OF SINGULAR PARABOLIC

EQUATIONS

BY

FRANCESCO RASWEDDA

STELLA PIRO VERVIER

CAGLIARI

V.V.

FLORENCE

PROTOTYPE EQUATIONS

$$\begin{cases} u_t = \Delta u^m & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N \times [0, \infty[\\ u(0) = \delta & \left(\frac{N-2}{N}\right)_+ < m < 1 \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{cases} u_t = \operatorname{Div}(|Du|^{p-2} Du) & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N \times [0, \infty[\\ u(0) = \delta & \frac{2N}{N+1} < p < 2 \end{cases}$$

SOME REMARKS

(2)

- $U_0 \in L^1$

- UNDER $\frac{2N}{N+1}$ OR $\left(\frac{N-2}{N}\right)_+$ NO REGULARIZING

EFFECTS

EXPLICIT SOLUTIONS (BARONGLATI)

$$1) \quad t^{-\frac{N}{\lambda}} \left\{ 1 + \delta_p \left(\frac{|x|}{t^{\frac{1}{\lambda}}} \right)^{\frac{p}{p-1}} \right\}^{\frac{p-1}{p-2}} \quad t > 0$$
$$= \beta_p$$

$$\lambda = N(p-2) + p$$

$$\delta_p = \left(\frac{1}{\lambda} \right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \frac{2-p}{p}$$

$$2) \quad t^{-\frac{N}{\kappa}} \left\{ 1 + \delta_m \left(\frac{|x|}{t^{\frac{1}{\kappa}}} \right)^2 \right\}^{\frac{1}{m-1}} \quad t > 0$$
$$= \beta_m$$

$$\kappa = N(m-1) + 2$$

$$\delta_m = \left(\frac{1}{\kappa} \right)^{\frac{1}{m}} \frac{1-m}{2m}$$

Why singular?

$$u_t = m \operatorname{Div} (u^{m-1} \nabla u)$$

If u solution $\Rightarrow \mu u$ solution

The long term behaviour different if Ω bounded domain.

$$\begin{cases} u_t = \Delta_p u \\ u = 0 \text{ on } \partial \Omega & \Omega \times [0, \infty[\\ u(0, x) = u_0(x) \geq 0 \end{cases}$$

Multiply by u and $\int_{\Omega} dx$

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|u\|_2^2 + 2 \|p u\|_p^p = 0$$

$$\|u\|_2 \leq |\Omega|^{\frac{n(n-2)+2p}{2np}} \|u\|_{\frac{np}{n-p}}$$

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|u\|_2 + \sigma \|u\|_2^{p-1} \leq 0 \Rightarrow$$

$$\|u\|_2(t) \leq c (T-t)^{\frac{1}{2-p}}$$

(4)

EXTINCTION TIME

$$E(u) = \left(\frac{\|\nabla u\|_p}{\|u\|_2} \right)^p \text{ is not increasing} \quad \downarrow$$

$$B_r(u) \leq E(u)(t) \leq E(u)(0)$$

but Sobolev constant

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|u\|_2^2 + 2 \|\nabla u\|_p^p = 0$$

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|u\|_2^2 + 2 E(u)(t) \|u\|_2^p = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \|u\|_2(t) \sim c (T-t)^{\frac{1}{2-p}}$$

THE CASE $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^N$ IS DIFFERENT

- NO EXTINCTION TIME

- DEPENDS ON N

LOW FREQUENCY BEHAVIOUR

(5)

V SOLUTION OF $v_t = \Delta v^m$

Let

$$u(x,t) = \alpha(t)^N v(\alpha(t)x, \beta(t))$$

where

$$\alpha(t) = e^{kt} \quad \beta(t) = \frac{1}{k} (e^{kt} - 1)$$

$$\Rightarrow u_t = \text{div}(xu + \nabla u^m)$$

FOURIER-PLANCK
EQUATION

ONLY ONE STATIONARY SOLUTION

$$\text{FLUX} = 0 \quad xu + \nabla u^m = 0$$

$$xu + \nabla u^m = u \left(\frac{m}{m-1} \nabla u^{m-1} + x \right) =$$

$$= \frac{m}{m-1} u \nabla \left(u^{m-1} - \left(c_2 + \frac{1-m}{2m} |x|^2 \right) \right)$$

$$\Rightarrow u = \left(c_2 + \frac{1-m}{m} |x|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{m-1}} = u_\infty$$

$$u(x,t) \xrightarrow{?} u_\infty$$

A LOT OF RESULTS:

(6)

VATREUET, KANIN, TOSCANI, CARILLO, ANTONOVICH...

ENTROPY METHOD

$$H(u) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(|x|^2 u + \frac{2}{m-1} u^m \right)$$

$$H(u | u_\infty) = H(u) - H(u_\infty) \geq 0$$

$$\tilde{I} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u \left(|x|^2 + \frac{2}{m-1} |\nabla u^{m-1}|^2 \right) dx$$

$$\frac{d}{dt} H(u(t) | u_\infty) = -2\tilde{I}$$

$$\frac{d}{dt} \tilde{I}(u(t)) = -2\tilde{I}(t) - R(t)$$

$$R(t) \geq 0$$

$$\Rightarrow 0 \leq H(u(t) | u_\infty) \leq \tilde{I}(u(t))$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{d}{dt} H \leq -2H$$

\Rightarrow CONVERGENCE (RATE OF CONVERGENCE)

SHARP AND CLEVER METHOD.

(7)

⇒ SPECIAL EQUATIONS

⇒ THE RESULT SHOULD HOLD FOR SOME
GENERAL OPERATORS

$$u_t = \operatorname{div} A(x, t, u, Du)$$

$$A(x, t, \xi, z) \cdot z \geq c_0 |z|^p$$

$$|A(x, t, \xi, z)| \leq C, |z|^{p-1}$$

$$(A(x, t, \xi, z_1) - A(x, t, \xi, z_2))(z_1 - z_2) \geq 0$$

$$|A(x, t, \xi_1, z) - A(x, t, \xi_2, z)| \leq L |\xi_1 - \xi_2| (1 + |z|^{p-1})$$

- MONOTONICITY TO HAVE EXISTENCE

- STRUCTURE CONDITIONS TO HAVE POSITIVITY,
COMPARISON

Porous Medium

(8)

$$A(x, t, u, Du) \cdot Du \geq c_0 |u|^{1-m} |D(u^m)|^2$$

$$|A(x, t, u, Du)| \leq c_1 |D(u^m)|^2$$

Theorem

Let u be solution of

$$\begin{cases} u_t = \operatorname{Div} (A(x, t, u, Du)) & \mathbb{R}^n \times [0, \infty[\\ u(0, x) = \delta \end{cases}$$

$$\Rightarrow \exists k_1, k_2 > 0 :$$

$$k_2 \mathcal{B}(x, t) \leq u(x, t) \leq k_1 \mathcal{B}(x, t)$$

POINTWISE ESTIMATE

(K. TUUSI - S. MINGIONE ; DIFFERENT CONTEXT)

REMARKS

- IT WORKS FOR GENERAL DATA $u_0 \in L^1$ $u_0 \geq 0$
- FROM THE ESTIMATES ON $u \Rightarrow$ ESTIMATES ON THE ∇u (0-LAPLACE)
- FROM THE ESTIMATE ON $u \Rightarrow$ ESTIMATES ON $D^k u$ (POROUS MEDIUM) \Rightarrow ANALYTICITY
 (KNOWN - DISCRETE - \checkmark) $\left(t_0 u(x_0, t_0) \right)^{\frac{1}{m}}$ \Rightarrow ESTIMATES $t_0 / 2$
- IT CAN QUANTITATIVELY ESTIMATE THE TIME WHEN THE SOLUTION REALIZES THAT A DOMAIN IS NOT UNBOUNDED
- WE USE ESTIMATES ONLY ON 0-LAPLACE AND POROUS MEDIUM \rightarrow THE ESTIMATES ON FOKKER-PLANCK ARE A BY-PRODUCT

TOOLS:

 $L^1 - L^1$ ESTIMATES $L^1 - L^\infty$ ESTIMATES

DE GIORGII'S LEMMA

RECENT HARNACK INEQUALITIES.

ESTIMATES FROM ABOVE ("easy" part)

$$\sup_{B_r(y) \cap \Omega(s,t)} u \leq \frac{\delta}{(t-s)^{\frac{N}{\lambda}}} \left(\int_{B_{2r}(y)} u(x, 2s-t) \right)^{\frac{p}{\lambda}} + \delta \left(\frac{t-s}{r^r} \right)^{\frac{1}{2-p}}$$

$$\Rightarrow u(0,t) \leq C t^{-\frac{N}{\lambda}}$$

$$\Rightarrow u(x,1) \leq C |x|^{\frac{p}{p-2}}$$

ESTIMATES FROM BELOW

(11)

I STEP : CONSERVATION OF THE LOCAL MASS

$$\sup_{s < \tau < t} \int_{B_{\rho}(y)} u(x, \tau) dx = \bar{y} \int_{B_{2\rho}} u(x, t) dx + \bar{y} \left(\frac{t-s}{\rho^r} \right)^{\frac{1}{2-r}}$$

II STEP :

FROM L^1 - L^1 ESTIMATES + L^∞ ESTIMATES \Rightarrow

CAN APPLY DEGIORGI'S LEMMA

LEMMA

ASSUME

$$| \{ u(\cdot, t) \geq M \} \cap B_{\rho}(y) | \geq \alpha |B_{\rho}|$$

$$\forall s - \varepsilon M^{2-r} \rho^r < t < s$$

$$\Rightarrow \exists \{ \varepsilon \in (0, 1) \}$$

$$u(x, t) \geq \frac{1}{2} M \quad \forall x \in B_{2\rho}(y)$$

$$\forall s - \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon M^{2-r} \rho^r < t \leq s$$

De Giorgi's Lemma $\Rightarrow u \geq c t^{-\frac{n}{2}}$ close

(12)

to the origin

III step:

prove that $u(t, x) \sim |x|^{\frac{p}{p-2}}$ $|x| \rightarrow \infty$

Consequence of a recent HARDY inequality

(BISCIONE-GIACOMINI-V)

Assume $u \geq 0$ be a solution of a singular

parabolic equation \Rightarrow

$$\gamma u(x_0, t_0) \leq \inf_{B_r(x_0)} u(\cdot, t)$$

$$\forall t : t_0 - (c u(x_0, t_0))^{2-p} r^p \leq t$$

$$\leq t_0 + (c u(x_0, t_0))^{2-p} r^p$$

ELLIPTIC HARDY FOR A PARABOLIC EQUATION.