

## Chapter 8: One-sample hypothesis tests

**Radioactive lichen.** Lichen has a high absorbance capacity for radiation fallout from nuclear accidents. University of Alaska researchers collected nine lichen specimens at various locations and measured the amount of the radioactive element cesium-137 (in microcuries per milliliter) in each specimen. *The data values, converted to logarithms are given in the following table:*

Location			
Bethel	-5.50	-5.00	
Eagle Summit	-4.15	-4.85	
Moose Pass	-6.05		
Turnagain Pass	-5.00		
Wickersham Dome	-4.10	-4.50	-4.60

Based on Lichen Radionuclide Baseline Research Project, 2003, p. 25.  
Orton, University of Alaska-Fairbanks.

Assume that in previous years the mean cesium amount in lichen was  $\mu = .003$  microcurie per milliliter. Is there sufficient evidence to indicate that the mean amount of cesium in lichen specimens differs from this value?

$X$  = amount of cesium-137 in one lichen specimen chosen at random

$E(X) = \mu$  = mean amount of cesium-137 in lichen specimens

We want to test

$$H_0: \mu = 0.003 \quad \alpha \text{ (we'll take } \alpha = 0.05)$$

$$H_1: \mu \neq 0.003$$

Since  $n=9$  is too small a sample size to use the large-sample approximation given by the CLT, we have to assume that  $X$  follows a normal distribution:  $X \sim N(\mu, \sigma)$ . Then the rejection region for the test is  $R = \{|t| > t_{8, \alpha/2}\}$ , where the test statistic is

$$t = \frac{\bar{x} - \mu_0}{s/\sqrt{n}}$$

To compute  $\bar{x}$ , we have to take into account that, for example,  $-5.50 = \log(x_1) \Leftrightarrow x_1 = e^{-5.50} = 0.004$ . So the original cesium readings were

$$x_1 = 0.004, x_2 = e^{-5.00} = 0.007, x_3 = e^{-4.15} = 0.016, x_4 = e^{-4.85} = 0.008$$

$$x_5 = e^{-6.05} = 0.002, x_6 = e^{-5.00} = 0.007, x_7 = e^{-4.10} = 0.017,$$

$$x_8 = e^{-4.50} = 0.011, x_9 = e^{-4.60} = 0.010$$

$$\bar{x} = 0.009 \quad s = 0.005 \quad \rightarrow t = \frac{0.009 - 0.003}{0.005/\sqrt{9}} = 3.72 > t_{8, 0.05} = 1.860 \Rightarrow$$

$\Rightarrow$  We reject  $H_0: \mu = 0.003$  at the 5% significance level.